23

24

25

26

2.7

28

1

2

3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JO-MAR ADKINS Individually and 10 as Successor-in-interest on behalf of the Estate of 11 MARGARET RUSSELL, Deceased, et al., 12 Plaintiffs, 13 14 McKESSON CORPORATION, 15 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE, and DOES 16 11-50,17 Defendants, 18 v. 19 DOES 51-100, 20 Nominal Defendants for Wrongful Death 21 Actions 22

Case No. C 13-3048 SC

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING TRANSFER

Now before the Court is Defendant GlaxoSmithKline LLC's ("Defendant") Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending Transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") to Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") Docket No. 1871, In re Avandia Marketing Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation (the "Avandia MDL"). This case has been conditionally transferred to the Avandia MDL. The above-captioned Plaintiffs oppose that transfer and this motion, which is fully briefed and appropriate for decision without oral argument per Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). Plaintiffs also ask the Court to rule on their motion to remand before deciding the motion to stay.

Out of deference to the MDL process and the uniformity and predictability it promotes, the Court declines to decide Plaintiffs' motion to remand at this time.

Upon careful consideration, the Court finds that staying this case is warranted because (1) potential prejudice to Plaintiffs is minimal, given how soon the JPML's decision is likely to issue; (2) not staying the matter could expose Defendant to needless litigation and inconsistent rulings in their pending cases; and (3) not staying the case would waste judicial resources, since these cases may be consolidated in the Avandia MDL. See Couture v. Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., No. 12-cv-2657 PJH, 2012 WL 3042994 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2012) (listing factors to be considered in issuing a stay); see also Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (the court's power to stay cases is inherent in its ability to control disposition of cases on its docket).

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 ///

Case 3:13-cv-03048-SC Document 22 Filed 08/15/13 Page 3 of 3

	The	Coı	ırt	STAY	S all	matt	ers i	n thi	s cas	se pen	ding	the	JPML'	S
deci	sion	on	whe	ther	this	case	shou	ld be	tran	nsferr	ed.	The	parti	es
are (ORDE	RED	to	file	a jo	int n	otice	with	the	Court	with	nin	seven	(7)
days	of	the	JPM	L's	decis	ion.								

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 15, 2013



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE